

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,363	LAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chat C. Do	2193	

All Participants:

(1) Chat C. Do.

(2) Robert P. Michal.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/08/2005
Time: 10:00 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

17-18

Claims discussed:

17-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Lengwehasatit (U.S. 6,167,092)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated a call to the attorney of record for suggesting to incorporate allowable subject matters in dependent claims 22 and 23 into the independent claims 17 and 18 respectively. This incorporation would further outline the allowable subject matters of the claimed invention that the location of predetermined coefficients is predetermined in the last row prior of scanning DCT coefficients. The attorney of record agreed and authorized the examiner to write an examiner amendment for this application. .